Approved For Release 2006/08/09: CIA-RDP78M02660R000300080021-5

' JAMES O. EASTLAND, MISS., CHAIRMAN

JOHN L. MC CAELLAN, ARK.
PULIP A. HART, MICH.
EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS.
BIRCH BAYH, IND.
QUENTIN N. BURDICK, N. DAK.
ROBERT C. BYRD, W. VA.
JOHN V. TUNNEY, CALIF.
JAMES ABOUREZK, S. DAK.

ROMAN L. HRUSKA, NEBR.
HIRAM L. FONG, HAWAII
HUGH SCOTT, PA.
STROM THURMOND, S.C.
MARLOW W. COOK, KY.
CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
WILLIAM L. SCOTT, VA.

SUBCOMMITTEE:

EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS., CHAIRMAN
PHILIP A. HART, MICH. STROM THURMOND, S.C.

BIRCH BAYH, IND. QUENTIN N. BURDICK, N. DAK. JOHN V. TUNNEY, CALIF. STROM THURMOND, S.C. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. HUGH SCOTT, PA.

THOMAS M. SUSMAN, CHIEF COUNSEL

FRANCIS C. ROSENBERGER CHIEF COUNSEL AND STAFF DIRECTOR

## United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
SUBCOMMITTEE ON
ADMINISTRATIVE PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE
(PURSUANT TO SEC. 3, 8. RES. 72, 14TH CONGRESS)
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

Executive Registry
76-2474

June 7, 1976

Honorable George Bush Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, DC 20505

Dear Director Bush:

I noted in the Washington Post of June 4, 1976, that the Central Intelligence Agency plans to destroy secret records compiled over the past year concerning illegal and improper agency activities. As chairman of the Senate Subcommittee on Administrative Practice and Procedure, which monitors federal information practices and has jurisdiction over agency administration of the Freedom of Information Act, I urge you to defer any such planned destruction for the foreseeable future.

First, the Senate has recently established a new Select Committee on Intelligence Oversight which is not yet fully organized. As a strong supporter of the Resolution establishing that Committee, I believe that its members should first have the chance to make an independent determination whether any of the documents in question might be necessary or useful to their activities.

Second, there are federal statutes relating to the maintenance of records which may be applicable to the records in question, even if they were illegally compiled or reflect improper agency activities. Although you may have determined that those laws are not here applicable, FBI Director Kelley, for example, has publicly stated that the Bureau could not destroy similar materials because of

MORI/CDF

June 7, 1976 Page 2

3

federal record-keeping laws. I would like for my subcommittee to have the opportunity to review those provisions in light of the proposed document destruction.

Third, proposals have been advanced that would require federal agencies engaged in illegal activities which may have violated the constitutional rights of American citizens to notify those persons of such activities. The Department of Justice is entertaining such a proposal, and legislation to that effect is presently pending in the House. Destruction of the records in question may make notice impossible, and thus should be deferred until Congress has determined whether or not to act in this area.

Fourth, there is pending in the House legislation (which I am considering introducing in the Senate) to allow certain classes of persons to sue the federal government for injury arising from the administering of dangerous drugs by federal agents or employees without the informed consent of those persons. (A private bill affording payment of a settlement in the case involving the Olsen family has already cleared the Senate.) Destruction of records might present an obstacle to the Congress's ability to make judgments in future cases like this.

Fifth, there may be outstanding requests under the Freedom of Information Act that encompass the material in question. In at least one reported case, a federal court has strongly criticized an agency for proceeding, even under a routine records-destruction procedure, to dispose of documents falling within the plaintiff's request; it would be unconscionable for this to occur again.

In short, while it has been reported that you have concluded that records destruction will be consistent with applicable laws and requirements of pending litigation and Justice Department investigations, it is equally important that any such destruction be considered in light of pending or proposed legislation and congressional investigations, and further, that there be no ambiguity as to the application of such "applicable laws."

June 7, 1976 Page 3

,

Obviously any after-the-fact assessment would be fruitless where the proposed action would obliterate the only material which would provide any basis for such assessment.

It is inconceivable to me that the Central Intelligence Agency would not have sufficient file storage capacity to maintain the integrity of the documents in issue for the foreseeable future. In light of the continuing interest of the Congress and the public in the intelligence activities of government--past as well as future--I therefore request that the proposed document destruction not be carried out until the many congressional committees with an interest in this area have been heard on the matter.

Sincerely, a Kennethy Edward M. Kennedy

Chairman

Honorable Mike Mansfield, Majority Leader . cc: Honorable Hugh Scott, Minority Leader Honorable James O. Eastland, Chairman, Committee on Judiciary Honorable Daniel K. Inouye, Chairman, Senate Intelligence Oversight Committee

Honorable John V. Tunney, Chairman, Senate Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights

Honorable Peter W. Rodino, Jr., Chairman, House Committee on the Judiciary

Honorable Donald Edwards, Chairman, House Subcommittee on Civil and Constitutional Rights

Honorable Jack Brooks, Chairman, House Committee on Government Operations

Honorable Bella Abzug, Chairman, House Subcommittee on Individual Rights and Government Information

